

**Minutes IVR Executive Committee (EC) meeting held at 10 a.m., 30<sup>th</sup> May 2008, at the Old College of the University of Edinburgh**

After greeting those present, the Secretary General briefly explained the circumstances that prevented the President being present at the meeting and suggested that the EC elect the two Vice-Presidents present at the meeting, Prof. Morigiwa and Prof. Comanducci as joint chairs for the meeting. The proposal was accepted unanimously by the EC. Prof. Morigiwa then invited the EC members to join him in wishing the President good health and a speedy recovery.

**Issue (i) – Adoption of the Agenda.** Prof. Morigiwa proposed that the agenda attached to this minutes be accepted, but suggested that points 4 (reports) and 5 (regulations for the Young Scholar Prize) should be discussed before point 3(a) of the agenda (Prof. Dahlman's proposal about the funding structure of the IVR). **The Agenda was unanimously accepted, as was Prof. Morigiwa's suggestion.**

**Issue (ii) – Minutes of last meeting.** Prof. Morigiwa proposed that the minutes of the IVR Executive Committee meeting held on the 7<sup>th</sup> August 2007 in Krakow Poland be approved. It was pointed out that two EC members were missing from the list of those present at that meeting and the **minutes were unanimously approved** with the inclusion of the two missing names.

**Issue (iii) – Reports** Prof Morigiwa then asked the Secretary General to report on matters of his competence. The Secretary General reported (a) the interest of Iranian Scholars to set up a Branch of the IVR in that country, which is expected to materialize into a proposal before the next EC meeting; (b) the existence of a Brazilian bid to host the world congress in 2013. **The report was unanimously accepted.**

Prof. Morigiwa then asked the Treasurer to report on the finances of the IVR. The Treasurer reported that the IVR funds were partially transferred to a UK based bank account. The UK bank account was credited with £ 10,919.21 and the equivalent to € 500.00 was left in the Swedish bank account for tax purposes. **The report was unanimously accepted.**

Prof Morigiwa then asked the ARSP Editor to take questions on the report in paper E (attached) and, after discussion, **the report was unanimously accepted.**

Prof. Morigiwa then suggested the EC consider the report by the IVR Encyclopaedia Editor (paper F, attached). Prof. Dahlman remarked that in his opinion the IVR Encyclopaedia Editor should have been present at the meeting. Speaking as a member of the editorial board, he remarked on the difficulties of keeping the IVR Encyclopaedia up and running for two reasons (a) the difficulty of getting the authors of entries to update their entries in line with the IVR Encyclopaedia guidelines (b) he envisaged in the future financial difficulties in keeping up the IVR Encyclopaedia if an alternative source of funding is not found. **The report was unanimously approved.** EC members discussed the convenience and possibility of inviting the IVR Encyclopaedia Editor to take part on the next EC meeting. The Secretary General suggested that, given that the IVR Encyclopaedia editor is not a constitutional member of the EC, she should be invited to take part (as a non voting member) on the part of that meeting in which her report on the IVR Encyclopaedia is discussed. **That proposal was unanimously accepted by the EC.**

The EC decided that the Editor of the Newsletter should produce a report for the next EC meeting and also that he should be invited to take part (as a non voting member) on the section of that meeting in which his report is discussed. **That proposal was unanimously accepted by the EC.**

**Issue (iv) – Young Scholar Prize Regulations** – Prof. Paolo Comanducci opened the floor to discussion about item 5(b) of the accepted agenda, concerning the proposed regulation for the Young Scholar Prize. After debate the following amendments were suggested and approved:

- Item 2(g) of the proposed regulations should specify that the electronic submissions should be sent both to the Secretary General and to the Editor of the ARSP.
- The deadline for submission in section 4 of the proposed regulations should be 6 months before the date of the World Congress.
- In item 10 of the regulations the deadline of 4 months previous to the World Congress should be reduced to 3 months
- The value of the prize to be awarded, according to item 7(a) of the regulations should be raised from US\$ 1,000.00 to €1,000.00
- The publications referred to in item 8 of the regulations should be made not later than 6 months before the official deadline set out in item 4.

Prof. Morigiwa then offered to make the appropriate changes in a final document that will be included in the IVR general Archives. The EC accepted this offer and unanimously approved the new regulations, with the above changes.

Prof. Morigiwa suggested that the discussion of item 5(a), concerning the members of the panel of judges that will decide on the award of the prize, be postponed until the meeting to be held on the 31<sup>st</sup> May 2008. The suggestion was accepted unanimously.

**Issue (v) – Prof. Dahlman’s Proposal** – Prof. Morigiwa then opened the discussion of professor Dahlman’s proposal, in item 3(a) of the agenda. Professor Dahlman summarized the reasons for accepting the proposal, emphasising the fact that the IVR does not give much to the members in return for their membership fees. The ARSP editor reminded the EC that members get a discount for the subscription of the ARSP. Other advantages that the IVR might offer to its members were discussed (discounts in conference fees, a members-only area in the website, among others). Concerns were raised by the Treasurer and others in relation to the idea of eliminating the IVR’s only source of income and outsourcing income to conference organizers. After interventions by Pauline Westerman, Annette Brockmoeller, Tom Campbell, Christian Dahlman, Carl P. Wellman and Leslie Frances, Prof. Morigiwa suggested that the discussion be halted at that point and continued in the meeting scheduled for the following day.

The Chair then thanked all present and declared the meeting adjourned until the next day.

Claudio Michelon  
Secretary General of the IVR

Yasutomo Morigiwa  
Vice-President of the IVR

Paolo Comanducci  
Vice-President of the IVR

List of participants:

Zenon Bankowski  
Yin Baohu  
Annette Brockmoeller  
Alexander Brösl  
Tom Campbell  
Chongko Choi  
Paolo Comanducci  
Wei Cui  
Christian Dahlman  
Svein Eng  
Leslie Frances  
Francisco Laporta  
Claudio Michelon  
Éric Millard  
Yasutomo Morigiwa  
Kevät Nousiainen  
Carl P. Wellman  
Pauline Westerman

## **Minutes IVR Executive Committee (EC) meeting held at 2:30 p.m., 31<sup>st</sup> of May 2008 at the Old College of the University of Edinburgh**

After greeting all present, the President apologized for not having been able to take part in the meeting held the day before and thanked the Vice-Presidents for chairing that meeting. The President also thanked for their presence Mr. Wei Cui and Mr. Yin Baohu, who attended the meeting on behalf of the Organizers of the Beijing World Congress. He expressed the sympathy of the IVR to the plight of the Chinese people in the aftermath of the earthquake that claimed so many lives. The President then resumed the works on the agenda accepted on the meeting held on May 30<sup>th</sup>.

**Issue (v) – Prof. Dahlman’s Proposal – Continuation of discussion** – The President resumed the discussion of item 3(a) of the agenda by reporting that Prof. Dahlman offered to withdraw the proposal (paper C) in favour of a more comprehensive review of the benefits offered by the IVR to its members. The need for that more comprehensive discussion, which was at the root of Prof. Dahlman’s proposal, was readily accepted by all present. The President then proposed that a three-member Subcommittee be set up for the specific task of reviewing the benefits presently offered to members and proposing new benefits that might be offered by the IVR to the members. The president also proposed that Prof. Dahlman, Prof. Francis and the Secretary General be chosen as members of that Subcommittee. The EC accepted the President’s proposals and the withdrawal of Prof. Dahlman’s proposal.

**Issue (vi) – Report by the China Law Society and Organization of the XXIV World Congress Beijing** – The President then invited the envoys of the China Law Society to present their reports on the current status of the organization of the XXIV World Congress (item 6 of the agenda). Mr. Wei Cui reported on the academic aspect of the Conference, on behalf of the Academy of Jurisprudence, and Mr. Baohu reported on the infrastructure envisaged for the conference. After thanking the representatives Mr. Wei Cui and Mr. Baohu, the President invited members of the EC to comment on the reports and the presentations. The EC made suggestions to the Conference organizers that could be thus summarized: (a) The special workshops be given more than the 90 minutes allocated according to the plan presented; (b) more space and time be allocated for both the working groups and special workshops (i.e. space where papers are presented by the participants who are not keynote or plenary speakers); (c) Among the plenary and keynote speakers there should be at least 2 women; (d) the website should be up and running as soon as possible, even if it contains very little information at this stage; (e) there be one or more plenary or keynote speakers able to discuss theoretical issues with particular reference China and/or East Asia; (f) keynote and plenary speakers who have not delivered papers as such in recent World Congresses be preferred to those who have. After the comments and suggestions, the President thanked all present for accepting the date suggested by the conference organizers and the representatives of the conference organizers for their openness to the suggestions made by the EC. The President also reaffirmed his offer to help contact the keynote and plenary speakers both personally and institutionally.

**Issue (vii) Report by the Organizers of the XXV World Congress Frankfurt -** The organizers tabled a paper with an outline of the conference (document attached to these minutes) and the President, having thanked Prof. Ulfrid Neumann for both the

paper and his availability to come to the EC meeting to discuss it, invited the EC members to comment on the paper tabled. An open discussion ensued from which three points emerged as paramount: (a) a suggestion to include women as plenary/keynote speakers and (b) a suggestion to prefer keynote and plenary speakers who have not delivered papers as such in recent World Congresses; (c) a suggestion that the conference website be up and running (not necessarily with complete information about the conference) by the September 2009 conference.

**Issue (viii) - Report by the Secretary General on the bid by the Brazilian branch to host the XXVI World Congress in Belo Horizonte/Brazil** – The Secretary General then reported on the Brazilian bid to host the XXVI World Congress in Belo Horizonte/Brazil. The EC discussed the report as well as the possibility of other candidacies and decided to postpone the decision about who will host the 2013 world congress. The EC also decided to invite two representatives of the Brazilian IVR to take part in the sessions of the next meetings of the EC in which the XXV World Congress (to be held in Frankfurt) will be discussed..

The selection of judges for the Young Scholar Prize The judges were selected according to the proposed rules for the IVR Young Scholar Prize.

Issue (ix) The President reported orally on the initiative for building a Law and Society Consortium congregating the many associations that are aimed at the investigation of issues pertaining to the study of Law and Society. The EC voted unanimously to grant the President authority to keep in conversation with those who are working towards building such Consortium with a view of gathering information to inform the EC members for a decision that the IVR take active part in the initiative.

The President then asked those present if there were any other businesses to be discussed at the meeting and, since no other issue arose, declared the meeting ended.

Claudio Michelin  
Secretary General of the IVR

Neil MacCormick  
President of the IVR

**List of participants:**

Fernando Atria  
Zenon Bankowski  
Yin Baohu  
Annette Brockmoeller  
Alexander Brössl  
Tom Campbell  
Chongko Choi  
Paolo Comanducci  
Wei Cui  
Christian Dahlman  
Svein Eng  
Leslie Frances  
Francisco Laporta  
Claudio Michelin  
Éric Millard  
Yasutomo Morigiwa  
Ulfrid Neumann  
Kevät Nousiainen  
Pauline Westerman

**Annexes to the minutes of the EC  
meetings**

**Edinburgh 30-31/05/2008**

## Paper A

### Agenda – IVR EC Meetings 30<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> May 2008

1. Adoption of Agenda	Paper A
2. Minutes of Krakow	Paper B
3. Matters Arising	
a. Proposal by Prof. Dahlman on the new structure for funding the IVR	Paper C
4. Reports	
a. Secretary General's Report	
b. Treasurer's Report	Paper D*
c. ARSP editor's report	Paper E
d. IVR Encyclopaedia	Paper F
5. Young Scholar Prize	
a. Examiners	
b. Regulation Proposed by Prof. Morigiwa	Paper G
6. China Law Society	Paper H
7. XXIV World Congress Beijing	
a. Dates	
b. Arrangements	
c. Website	
8. XXV World Congress Frankfurt**	
9. XXVI World Congress Bids	Paper I
a. Report on the Brazilian bid	

\* Reported orally

\*\* Paper Tabled at meeting held on May 31st

### **Proposal concerning membership fees**

The IVR website makes the following statement concerning membership fees.

*Membership fees of national sections are payable annually for the period of 1 July to 30 June. The annual fee is calculated on the basis of the number of members of the national sections. The current rate is 15 USD per member per annum, half of which is retained by the national sections. In practice, therefore, the amount to be sent to the IVR is 7.50 USD per member per annum.*

As this statement makes clear, all national sections are required to collect an annual fee of USD 15.00 from their members, and send USD 7.50 per paying member to the IVR.

I propose the following change.

- 1) National sections should be free to set membership fees as they see fit. A national section should have the liberty to charge a higher membership fee than other national sections, and it should also be free to decide not to charge a membership fee.
- 2) National sections should be relieved from their obligation to send USD 7.50 per paying member to the IVR. The supra-national activities of the IVR should not be financed thru membership fees.

My reasons for this proposal are as follows.

Less than half of the national sections make their annual payments without further notice. To collect debts from non-paying sections is a time consuming effort for the IVR Treasurer.

It is not legitimate that IVR members pay a fee for which they receive nothing in return. Non-members and non-paying members can attend IVR Congresses just like paying members, and they pay the same Congress fee. Non-members and non-paying members can access the IVR website and subscribe to the IVR Newsletter free of charge.

Membership fees are not needed to finance IVR activities. In the past, membership fees have been financing the following three activities: 1) distribution of the IVR Newsletter, 2) subsidies for EC Members to attend EC Meetings, and 3) subsidies for young researchers to attend IVR Congresses.

It is no longer necessary to collect membership fees to finance the distribution of the IVR Newsletter. The distribution was rather costly at the time when it was distributed by regular mail. Since it is now distributed by e-mail the distribution costs are zero.

Subsidies for EC Members to attend EC Meetings ought not to be financed thru membership fees. It is not fair that regular members pay for the expenses of EC

Members. Such an enterprise takes from the less advantaged and gives to the privileged.

Subsidies for young researchers is a legitimate activity that ought to continue, but it can be managed thru the congress budget. The EC can decide that the organizer of the IVR Congress must give a number of young scholars from developing countries the possibility to attend the Congress free of charge, and offer them housing. If this is too much of a burden for the Congress budget, the EC should relieve the Congress organizer from its obligation to offer free accommodation to EC members.

CHRISTIAN DAHLMAN

**ARSP  
Brief Editorial Report  
for submission at the IVR EC meeting in Edinburgh 2008**

For the Krakow world Congress I had prepared an Editorial Report to show the situation and the development of the ARSP in the last 2 years (2005-2006). The report has been submitted on the meeting of the EC and has been presented on the meeting of the general assembly.

The report contains details concerning articles, reviews, IVR information, the special issues (Beihefte) and some general aspects.

As the situation has remained nearly unchanged in comparison to August 2007, it might be allowed to present this report again but updated insofar as you will find the recent situation concerning the edition of the "Beihefte" and the tables of contents of the ARSP volumes until the end of 2009.

I will just summarize the main points and trends in order to show the recent development and compare the present situation with the preceding periods. The complete text of the Krakow Report has been added in a smaller type face at the end. Before that I have also added the guidelines for publication of the special issues (Beihefte).

## I. Articles

Here, the situation is similar to that in the preceding years: A large number of manuscripts have been submitted.

About 60 % of the articles were submitted by German language authors and about 40 % by other Language authors (19:12 (2006)).

Among the papers received in 2005/06 there were, as always, several articles that had to be rejected since their topic did not fit in at all with the fields of legal and social philosophy or did not meet the criteria of ARSP. The majority of incoming papers is reviewed.

The rejection quote was 40 %. During the last 2 years the period between receipt of the manuscript and its publication takes 15 months on average, mainly due to a current increase in the number of incoming manuscripts.

It has always been the editors aim to publish volumes on key issues. For example: Vol. 1/2005 has focused on "Tolerance" and vol. 4/2006 on Thomas Hobbes and the 16<sup>th</sup> century.

The surveys enclosed (Art. II - III) list the published articles according to their countries of origin, and show the percentage of articles written in each of the ARSP languages

German	71 %
English	29 %
French	--
Spanish	--

As compared to the figures of the preceding years, the analysis in terms of language shows only little change. The number of articles written in English has increased and during the last years there were no articles written in French or Spanish anymore.

During the period under review (2005/2006) 41 articles from 10 countries could be published. This, too, indicates the high degree of internationality of the journal.

**In the meantime the English abstract in front of each article is provided in the internet: [www.steiner-verlag.de/ARSP](http://www.steiner-verlag.de/ARSP). There you will also find a preview of the upcoming articles and our guidelines.**

## II. Reviews

As compared to the period covered by the report 2003/2004 the number of book reviews decreased (65:35).

About 60 % of books were reviewed without invitation. Currently we receive plenty of book reviews again.

### **III. Literary Report**

Since 1993 a literature report appears in the ARSP to keep the readers informed about new publications in the field of legal and social philosophy. A brief comment on each title should convey an idea about the content of the book.

Each report still focuses on books under a special topic - in the period under review e.g.: Sprachphilosophie und juristische Interpretation (vol. 1/2005); Theory of the state (vol. 3/2005); Judges, knowledge of law and Democracy (vol. 1/2006) and conflicts in Law and Ethics (vol. 2/2006).

The editors are very much indebted to Professor Jan-R. Siekmann. He spent a lot of time and energy on writing these reports. The bad news is that Professor Siekmann will resign from this job at the end of the year. However the good news is that I won Professor Stephan Kirste from the University of Heidelberg for writing the reports in the future.

### **IV. IVR-Information**

I want to stress once more: **It would be greatly appreciated if the National sections of the IVR could pass on more information! (annette.brockmoeller@t-online.de)**

### **V. Special Issues (Beihefte)**

See attached leaflet.

### **VI. General**

According to the publishers at present 485 copies of the ARSP are printed. Compared with other journals the decrease is below average keeping in mind the permanent shortage of funds as is in particular the case for university libraries.

Presently the annual subscription costs 236,80 € single volumes are sold for 59,- € IVR members are granted a 30 % reduction.

Annette Brockmüller  
2008

May 18th,

## **Tables of Contents of the next Issues**

### Vol. 3/2008

Mario Iorio (Bielefeld): Thomas Hobbes – der Aristoteliker: Überlegungen zu seiner politischen Philosophie

Filimon Peonidis (Thessaloniki): Aristotele's Relevance to Modern Democratic Theory

Francesco Biondo (Palermo): Is Martha Nussbaum really political liberal? (Biondo - Nussbaum)

Alexander Shytov (Moskau/Chian Mai): Folktales as the Source of Law

Christoph Lütge (München): Moralische Mehrwerte und soziale Stabilität

Adrian Künzler (Zürich): Effizienz und Wettbewerbspolitik

### Vol. 4/2008

Jean-Marc Piret (Sint-Truiden): Politics, Sovereignty and Cosmopolitanism in Times of Globalization

Markus Rothaar (Berlin): Der Grundsatz der Menschenwürde und das Problem des „Zweck an sich“

Elif Özmen (München)/Michael Schröter (Berlin): Das Reich der Sinne. Pornographie als rechtsethisches Problem

Johannes Cziupka (Jena): Logische Probleme bei der Bestimmung der pränatalen Menschenwürde

Katrin Gierharke (Bonn). Feindbehandlung im Recht

Alfonso de Julios Campuzano (Seville): Legal Cultures and Globalization. Methodological premises for a cosmopolitan Law

### Vol. 1/2009

Carl Wellman (St. Louis): One hundred years of the IVR

Fredrik Jörgensen/Jan Svanberg (Stockholm): Legal Self-efficacy and Managers use of Law

Steffen Augsberg (Köln): Der “naturalistische Fehlschluß” als juristische Argumentationsfigur

Philipp Hölzinger (Frankfurt a.M.): Für eine republikanische Kultur der Freiheit.  
Machiavellis klassischer Republikanismus

Gregor Kuntze-Kaufhold (Frankfurt a.M.): Legal best practices: von der tatsächlichen  
zur guten Übung der Rechtsanwendung

Klaus Mathis (Luzern): Zukünftige Generationen in der Theorie von John Rawls

Martin Rechenauer (München): Kontraktualistische Gerechtigkeitstheorien und die  
Idee eingebetteter Selbst

Vol. 2/2009

Olaf Müller (Berlin): Mikro-Zertifikate. Für Gerechtigkeit unter Luftverschmutzern

Lyana Francot/Bald de Vries (Utrecht): No Way Out. Contracting about Modern Risk

Karsten Schneider (Bonn): Über die Möglichkeit einer wertungsunabhängigen  
Unterscheidung zwischen Gefahr, Risiko und Restrisiko

Christoph-Eric Mecke (Hannover): Puchta – Jhering

Jaques de Ville (Cape Town/Berlin): Desire and Language in Derrida's Force of Law

Jan-R. Sieckmann (Bamberg/Buenos Aires): Reconstructing Relativism. An Analysis  
of Radbruch's Philosophy of Law

Vol. 3/2009

Jaakko Husa (Joensuu, Finnland): Nicht nur juristische Auslandsforschung:  
Rechtsvergleichung als Rechtsphilosophie

Wibren van der Burg (Utrecht?): Essentially Ambiguous Concepts and the Fuller-  
Hart-Dworkin Debate

Yega Muthu (Sydney): Dworkin vs. Fish. Theoretical premise of Awarding Damages  
for Psychiatric Illness in England and Australia

Anja Oberkofler (Wien): Ronald Dworkin's Rechtsprechungstheorie aus der Sicht der  
Critical Legal Studies

Maximilian Reißling (Kiel): Prinzipien als Normen mit zwei Geltungsebenen

Vol. 4/2009

Jacob Rosenthal (Bonn): Zur Reichweite des moralischen Kontraktualismus.  
Überlegungen am Beispiel von David Gauthier und Peter Stemmer

José-Antonio Santos (Madrid): The Basis of the Right to Resistance in the Legal  
Thought of Arthur Kaufmann

**Special Issues (Beihefte published 2005-2007)**

104. Robert Alexy (Ed.):  
Juristische Grundlagenforschung. Tagung der Deutschen Sektion der Internationalen Vereinigung für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie vom 23. bis 25 September in Kiel (2005)
105. Philippe Mastronardi/Denis Taubert (Eds.):  
Staats- und Verfassungstheorie im Spannungsfeld der Disziplinen (2007). Tagung der Schweizerischen Vereinigung für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie, Universität St. Gallen vom 12./13. November 2004
106. José Juan Moreso (Ed.):  
Legal Theory. Legal Positivism and Conceptual Analysis (2007) (Proceedings of the 22<sup>nd</sup> World Congress of the International Association for Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy (IVR) in Granada, May 2005) Volume I
107. José Rubio Carrecedo (Ed.):  
Political Philosophy. New Proposals for New Questions (2007) (Proceedings of the 22<sup>nd</sup> World Congress of the International Association for Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy (IVR) in Granada, May 2005) Volume II
108. Andrés Ollero (Ed.):  
Human Rights and Ethics (2007) (Proceedings of the 22<sup>nd</sup> World Congress of the International Association for Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy (IVR) in Granada, May 2005) Volume III
109. Nicolás López Calera (Ed.):  
Globalization, Law and Economy (2007) (Proceedings of the 22<sup>nd</sup> World Congress of the International Association for Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy (IVR) in Granada, May 2005) Volume IV
110. Josep Aguiló-Regla (Ed.):  
Logic, Argumentation and Interpretation/Lógica, Argumentación e Interpretación (2007) (Proceedings of the 22<sup>nd</sup> World Congress of the International Association for Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy (IVR) in Granada, May 2005) Volume V
111. Marcel Senn/Dániel Puskás (Eds.):  
Gehirnforschung und rechtliche Verantwortung. Fachtagung der Schweizerischen Vereinigung für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie vom 19./20. Mai 2006, Universität Bern
112. Annette Brockmöller (Ed.):  
Hundert Jahre Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie. Auswahl von 14 Beiträgen bedeutender Rechtsphilosophen von Kelsen, Radbruch, Luhmann u.a.

113. Horst Dreier/Eric Hilgendorf (Eds.):  
Kulturelle Identität als Grund und Grenze des Rechts. Akten der IVR-Tagung vom 28.-30. September 2006 in Würzburg
114. Jochen Bung/Brian Valerius/Sascha Ziemann (Eds.):  
Normativität und Rechtskritik. Tagungen des Jungen Forums Rechtsphilosophie im September 2006 in Würzburg und im März 2007 in Frankfurt am Main
115. Marcel Senn/Dániel Puskás (Eds.):  
Rechtswissenschaft als Kulturwissenschaft? Kongress der Schweizerischen Vereinigung für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie, 15. und 16. Juni 2007 in Zürich

**Guidelines for the Publication of Papers of IVR Congresses in the Special Issues of the ARSP (Beihefte)**

1. According to a long tradition the papers of the IVR World Congresses (as well as those of congresses of the IVR national sections) are to be published in the ARSP Beihefte series.
2. It has repeatedly been emphasized that the contents of the Beihefte should be of high quality and that these special issues be published as soon as possible after the congress.
3. With regard to these aims the following procedure should be observed:
  - Only those papers can be considered for publication which have been presented at the congress.
  - A serious selection of all submitted papers is indispensable.
  - A maximum of 4 Beihefte after each World Congress has been planned.
  - Each issue should not exceed 250 pages (of about 2500 key strokes).
  - Each Beiheft should be devoted to a special topic.
  - The responsibility for editing the Beihefte is with the editors only.
  - The editors of the Beihefte are appointed by the IVR EC in agreement with the editors of the ARSP. They must have been participants in the congress.
  - The editors select the papers and write a summarizing introduction.
  - Deadline for submission should be two months after the congress.
  - The selection should be finished three months after the mentioned deadline for submission.
4. The selected papers (+ disk) and the introduction should be sent to the publisher: Mrs Regina Maass, c/o Franz Steiner Verlag, Postfach 10 10 61, D - 70009 Stuttgart (Phone: ++49/(0)711-2582 305; Fax: ++49/(0)711-2582 290; E-mail: rmaass@steiner-verlag.de
  - The publisher will send the galley proofs to the authors as well as to the editors (together with the manuscript).
  - The authors are asked to look through the printed pages, to correct them (if necessary) and to send the corrected proofs to the editors immediately.

- The editors will send these proofs and the corrected proofs of the introduction as soon as possible to the publisher.
  - The publisher will carry out the corrections and will send the second proofs to the editors.
  - The editors have to check whether all corrections have been done and - if so - will give the imprimatur.
5. If these steps are obeyed without delay the publisher will guarantee the publication of up to 4 Beihefte within a period of 12 - 18 months after the congress.
6. In each Beiheft the contents of the other Beihefte with the proceedings of the same World Congress will be announced.
7. All correspondence during the editing process should be done with Mrs Maass. In cases of problems Dr. Annette Brockmöller is prepared to help (E-mail: Annette Brockmoeller@t-online.de).

## **IVR-Report 2007 in Krakow**

This Editorial Report is to be presented at the meeting of the IVR Executive Committee (EC) on the occasion of the XXIII. World Congress of the International Association for Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy (IVR) in Krakow in August 2007.

The Report continues the series of reports submitted at the IVR-World Congresses 1983 to 2005 and the IVR EC Meetings during the last 15 years from Bologna (1988) to Alicante (2004).

### **I. Articles**

The situation is similar to that in the preceding years: A large number of manuscripts from all over the world has been submitted.

The attached survey of incoming manuscripts (= A Articles) shows that the proportion of articles received from Germany accounts as before for more than two thirds of the total number of articles submitted from **10 European and non-European countries** during the period under review. In the preceding 7 years, the ratio of articles from Germany as compared to those received from other countries was as follows: 62:38 (1999), 61:39 (2000), 20:17 (2001), 26:8 (2002) 26:10 (2003), 19:15 (2004), 25:12 (2005), 19:12 (2006). During the last years the number of submissions from Germany as well as from abroad is constant.

**However, as in the years before I ask the present EC-members to solicitate contributions from their countries. As seen from the IVR Young-Scholar Price this can have quite positive side effects!**

Now as before considerable international interest in the ARSP can be noted; thus, the Editors attach special importance to cultivating the image of the journal from this point of view, too (according to § 2 No. 1 of the IVR Constitution). Further efforts have been made to report on developments within the field of legal and social philosophy, in particular covering areas in which the available information has been very scarce or non-existent. Contributions dealing with comparisons of law and legal systems were just as welcome.

Among the papers received in 2005/2006, there were, as always, several articles that had to be returned since their topic did not fit in at all with the fields of legal and social philosophy or were considered sub-standard. If, however, the paper was regarded as almost standard the Editors, as was done in the past, suggested a subsequent improvement; in all cases these efforts were successful.

Within the last two years the period between receipt of the manuscript and its publication takes about 12 months on average as it was the case in 2003/2004. To a certain degree, the waiting period also depends on the programme planning of the individual volumes.

It has always been the Editor's aim to publish volumes on key issues, e.g. vol. 1, 2005 has focused on Tolerance, Vol. 4, 2005 has focused on Kant; vol. 4, 2006 on Thomas Hobbes and the 16<sup>th</sup> century. As soon as it seemed possible to devote a volume - or a part of it - to one particular theme, the Editors compiled articles according to their thematic "relationship".

As in the years before the enclosed surveys Articles (= A) list the published articles according to their countries of origin and show the percentage of articles written in each of the ARSP language. As compared to the figures of the preceding years, the analysis in terms of language shows only little change. In 2005/2006, 41 articles from 10 countries were published.

Taking a look at the *themes* of the articles published in 2005 and 2006, it shows that a certain emphasis was placed on problems concerning globalization and tolerance.

The Editors will continue their efforts to highlight the development and present the situation of legal and social philosophy in the individual countries and cultural areas. In vol. 1/2006 the paper of the winner of the IVR Young Scholars Prize 2005, Hirohide Takikawa (Osaka/Japan): "Can we justify the Welfare State in an Age of Globalization?: Toward Complex Borders" was published.

## II. Reviews

The attached surveys Reviews (= B) show the number of reviews as well as the significant internationality within this section. As compared with the period covered by the last report 2003/2004 (43:65), the number of reviews has decreased in 2005/2006 (65:35), a number that was normal in former years.

Now as before, the Editors attach utmost importance to presenting essential works of legal and social philosophy on an international scale - this remains our goal. Unfortunately, in individual cases, its realisation proves much more difficult than may generally be assumed. The problems that have already been mentioned in previous reports are, on the one hand, that the reviews had to be limited to 40-50 per year; a number that is surpassed several times by new publications in this field every month. On the other hand, it must be mentioned that the sense of "review moral" is not always highly developed.

## III. Literary Report

In order to keep up with the want of information and in accordance with a well-established tradition, a literary report appeared as an additional section in our journal (starting in vol. 2/1993). This is to inform our readers about new publications in the field of legal and social philosophy. A brief comment on each advertised title - which is by no means intended to be a substitute for a review - should convey an idea about the content of the book (or article in an journal).

As already has been mentioned in the former Editorial reports the literary report's advantage to our readers is not only established by the fact that - additionally - about 40 to 50 new publications are introduced each year, due to the thematic focus of the individual report it also offers the reader an overview of recent publications in specific fields. Each report still focuses on books under a special topic. In the period under review the themes of the literary reports have been, e.g. Sprachphilosophie und juristische Interpretation; 1/2005; Staatstheorie (3/2005); Richter, Rechtserkenntnis und Demokratie (1/2006); Konflikte in Recht und Moral (2/2006).

The editors are very much indebted to Professor Jan-Reinhard Siekmann who has been spending a lot of enthusiasm and time on writing these literary reports.

## IV. IVR-Information

Although the members of the boards and secretariats of the National Sections of the IVR repeatedly had been requested by the Editors to pass on information to be published in the ARSP those appeals met again with very little response. We want to stress once more: **It would be greatly appreciated if the National sections of the IVR could pass on more information!** *In particular, it would be extremely helpful if you could inform me about significant anniversaries and deaths by outstanding IVR members.* ([annette.brockmoeller@t-online.de](mailto:annette.brockmoeller@t-online.de))!!

Here, I would like to point out as Professor Sprenger did before, that the IVR Information continues to fulfil its function and is just as important as the IVR Newsletter. In the following I would like to repeat the main points:

As to the content of the information published, the Editorial office abides by the decision of the Athens Congress in 1985 to publish only news in the ARSP that are of fundamental and lasting importance for the IVR; all other news items are to be published in the IVR Newsletter. For the close co-operation among IVR National Sections themselves, it is extremely important to extend and strengthen the internal flow of information. The National Sections should not neglect those aspects that will be of importance to the IVR in the long term and therefore merit publication in the ARSP. This also and in particular applies to news relating to IVR members.

## V. Announcements

Information not directly related to the IVR but which might be of interest to readers of the ARSP continues to be published on a small scale. This refers mainly to announcements of congresses, conference papers, as well as additional information concerning the fields of legal and social philosophy.

## VI. Special Issues of the ARSP (ARSP Beihefte)

As in the years before I would like to repeat a brief summary of the standard practice for publication of manuscripts in the Beihefte. According to former decisions, the *Beihefte* are intended for *publishing the proceedings of the IVR, i.e. conferences*, the proceedings of the World Congresses as well as those of the meetings of the National Sections of the IVR. Apart from very few exceptions during the past few years which were dealt with the preceding Editorial Report, this procedure is generally observed.

I would also like to take this opportunity again to **specify the different areas of responsibility** in connection with the edition of the Beihefte. Here, we have to differentiate **two aspects**:

1. Within the context of a **formal responsibility, the Editors responsible for the publication of the ARSP consider whether the criterion just mentioned for publication of a Beiheft (conference proceeding) has been met.**
2. As far as the **content and production of the volumes** are concerned, the responsibility rests with the **Editor(s) of the individual volume**. All details related to editing and to publication are to be discussed between the Editor(s) of the individual volume and the **Franz-Steiner-Verlag**. The Editors of the ARSP do not exert an influence on the publication of the Beihefte and - we would like to point out - in no way will be responsible if a Beiheft is published at a later time than promised to the authors or not published at all.

To clarify the procedure of editing the Beihefte once and for all guidelines were prepared and have been presented and explained at the meeting of the IVR EC in Glasgow in June 1998. One copy was given to the minutes. These guidelines are enclosed here, too.

## VII. General

According to the publisher the circulation number of the magazine has decreased remarkable. At present there are 485 (528 in 2005) subscriptions. 2004 there were 526 subscriptions. But 2001/2002 the circulation figure still was around 600. However, compared with other journals the decrease is still average keeping in mind the permanent shortage of funds as is in particular the case for university libraries. Currently there are 225 (222 in 2005) subscriptions from Germany and 260 (306 in 2005) from abroad. The main countries are: USA (30), Netherlands (28), Great Britain (26; 50 in 2005), Spain (26); Japan (23; 42 in 2005), Italy (20), Switzerland (20), Austria (14), Korea Republic (10). In addition there are 22 countries with subscriptions below 10. There might also be some more subscriptions abroad which are not known because 50 volumes are given to mailing-order book houses by the publisher.

Presently the annual subscription costs 186,- EUR, single volumes are sold at 52,- EUR, IVR members are granted a 30 % reduction.

In the meantime the English abstract in front of each article is provided in the internet: [www.steiner-verlag.de/ARSP](http://www.steiner-verlag.de/ARSP). There you will also find a preview of the upcoming articles and our guidelines.

## VIII. Personal

As already mentioned in previous reports, the excellent co-operation between the Editors of the ARSP and the publisher still continues. The "new" head of the publisher, the Franz Steiner Verlag, Dr. Thomas Schaber *participates this year for the second time in the congress. I think this is very important for the journal.*

Finally, we would like to repeat that thanks are due to members of the Editorial board as well as scientists from Germany and abroad for their assistance, especially with regard to difficult decision-making. My special thanks are due to Professor Gerhard Sprenger who has always been a big help.

## A) Articles

### I. Articles published

20-year period: 1981-2004

2-year period: 2005/2006

Country of origin	no. of articles	%	no. of articles	%
Germany	354	49	22	54
USA	39	5		
Switzerland	32	4	4	10
Great Britain	27	4	2	5
Italy	26	4	4	10
Austria	21	3	2	5
Poland	16	2		
Spain	20	3	3	7
The Netherlands	16	2		
France	17	2		
Hungary	19	3	1	
Australia	9			
Yusolavia <sup>1</sup>	9			
Croatia	1			
Serbia	1			
Czechoslovakia	8			
Czechia	2			
Slovakia	1			
Canada	8			
Israel	6			
Greece	8			
Finland	6			
Brazil	10		1	
Japan	9			
Schweden	10		1	
Argentina	9		1	
Nigeria	4			
Belgium	6			
South Africa	3			
Romania	3			
Korea	3			
Libya	1			
Colombia	1			
Russia	2			
Luxemburg	3			
Bulgaria	1			
Ethiopia	1			
Turkey	1			
Slovenia	3			
Chile	2			
<b>Total</b>	<b>716</b>		<b>41</b>	

### II. Incoming manuscripts (number of manuscripts in relation to rejections)

	2005	2006

<sup>1</sup> Incl. the former seperated.

Countries of origin	Papers received		Rejected		Papers received		Rejected	
Germany	25	68%	11	44%	19	61 %	11	58%
Other contries	12	32%	1	8%	12	39 %	4	33%
<b>Total</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>12</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>%</b>

	Received	Rejected	
1985 - 2003	846	305	36%
2005 - 2006	68	27	40%

### **III. Articles published**

#### 1. Analysis in terms of language

	No. of articles %		No. of articles %	
	1981-2004		2005-2006	
German	462	64%	29	71
English	220	31%	12	29
French	27	4 %		
Spanish	5			
<b>Total</b>	<b>714</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>100%</b>

#### 2. Articles written in a language foreign to the author

	No. of articles	
	1981-2004	2005-2006
German	77	3
English	140	10
French	12	0

## B) Reviews

### I. Reviews published

20-year period: 1981-2004

2-year period: 2005/2006

Country of origin	no. of reviews	no. of reviews
Germany	500	28
Poland	29	
USA	18	
Austria	16	
Italy	20	
The Netherlands	14	
Great Britain	13	1
Switzerland	12	
Hungary	9	
Spain	9	
Brazil	7	
France	12	2
Yusolavia (not yet sep.)	6	1
Nigeria	4	
Israel	3	
Schweden	7	3
Finland		
Denmark	2	
Japan	2	
Canada	2	
New Zealand	1	
Belgium	1	
Korea	2	
Slovakia	1	
Norway	1	

---

696

35

**IVR ENCYCLOPEDIA OF JURISPRUDENCE, LEGAL THEORY AND  
PHILOSOPHY OF LAW**

**Report for the meeting of the Executive Committee of the IVR, Edinburgh, May  
2008**

At the meeting of the Executive Committee of the IVR in Krakau in August 2007 the EC has asked the following persons to act as the Editorial Board of the IVR Encyclopedia:

Eveline Feteris, University of Amsterdam (Editor-in-Chief)  
Christian Dahlman, University of Lund  
Christopher Gray, Concordia University, Montréal  
Jaap Hage, University of Maastricht  
Ken Himma, University of Washington, Seattle  
Christoffer Wong, University of Lund

The editorial board has been appointed for 2 years and will be responsible for the publication of the Encyclopedia in the period of 2 years until the following IVR conference in 2009.

The main function of the editors is to ensure that entries have a sufficient high scientific quality. To this end, the Editorial Board invite authors who can be considered as experts in the field to write entries for the Encyclopedia and they see submitted entries through the review in a blind peer-review and publication procedure. In this context the tasks of the Editorial Board are:

- To invite authors to write entries for the Encyclopedia
- To invite editors to edit a thematic cluster of entries for the Encyclopedia
- To develop and supervise the review procedure of entries
  - To develop guidelines for the scientific quality of entries
  - To assign papers to editors for the review procedure
  - To decide about the publication of entries on the basis of the peer review procedure

The editors are responsible only for the scientific quality and are not required to carry out technical processing of the entries. Editors may seek advice from members of the Advisory Board (consisting of experts in various fields) or the IVR Executive Committee.

The work of the Encyclopedia in the period of August 2007-May 2008 has consisted of the following activities:

- The board has developed an improved version of the guidelines on the basis of the experiences with the review process in the past years. The guidelines have been made more strict in order to improve the quality of the entries. There are strict guidelines for the content, the structure, the presentation and the length of entries.

- To broaden the expertise of the editorial board three new experts have been invited to become a member of the editorial board:
  - Bruce Anderson, Saint Mary's University, Halifax
  - Torben Spaak, Uppsala University
  - Pauline Westerman, University of Groningen
- The board has published 6 entries that were still under review
- The board has concluded the review procedure of 10 entries that are ready for publication (among which one thematic cluster of entries on John Rawls)
- The board has planned 15 individual entries to be published in 2008-2009
- The board has planned 5 thematic clusters to be published in the period 2008-2010
- The board is discussing with the publishing house Springer the possibilities of publishing the IVR Encyclopedia as an on-line encyclopedia.

The work plan for the Encyclopedia in the period 2008-2009 will be as follows:

- Publication of 20 individual entries
- Publication of 2-5 thematic clusters of entries

**Rules for the selection of the IVR Young Scholar Prize (DRAFT)**

1. Young scholars, who are members of the IVR and not over 35 years of age, are invited to write a paper to enter the competition for the IVR Young Scholar Prize (hereinafter Prize) at each World Congress of the IVR.
2. Papers submitted for the Prize should
  - a) deal with the subject or with one among the sub-themes of the relevant IVR World Congress on Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy.
  - b) not have been published or submitted for publication before the final decision by the panel of judges that selects the Prize winner
  - c) be written in one of the official languages of the IVR: English, French, German or Spanish;
  - d) not exceed the length of 20 pages, including footnotes and bibliography (about 2300 key strokes each page);
  - e) have on its cover page, the title of the paper, the name, affiliation, postal address, email address, member status of the IVR, and the date of birth of the applicant;
  - f) have on all other pages no reference to the applicant's name or any other information that would identify the applicant;
  - g) be sent to the secretary general of the IVR and to the editor of the ARSP
3. To facilitate assessment of the papers, competitors who present their papers in French, German or Spanish are requested to provide an English translation thereof.
4. The deadline for submission is six months prior to the commencement of the World Congress in which the Prize is awarded, unless otherwise announced by official organs of the IVR.
5. Only one submission by each competitor is allowed.
6. The participation in the Prize competition does not disqualify the paper from being submitted to the Congress (for presentation in working groups or special workshops).
7. The prizewinner shall
  - a) be awarded €1,000;
  - b) be given the opportunity to deliver the "IVR Young Scholar Prize Lecture" as a plenary lecture at the relevant World Congress of the IVR; and
  - c) have the paper published in the *Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie* (hereinafter ARSP), the official publishing organ of the IVR.

8. The announcement of the competition shall be made no later than six months before the deadline for submissions and shall be :
  - a) in relation to the ARSP, the responsibility of the Managing Editor of the ARSP,
  - b) in relation to the IVR Newsletter, the responsibility of the Editor of the Newsletter
  - c) , in relation to the that Website, the responsibility of the webmaster of the IVR official Website
  
9. The Executive Committee of the IVR (hereinafter EC) shall be responsible for all rules and decisions concerning the Prize and the following administration thereof.
  - a) The papers received shall be read by a panel of judges appointed by the Executive Committee of the IVR.
  - b) The panel of judges shall select the prize winning paper by a method of selection described separately.
  - c) The panel of judges may recommend for publication submitted papers that they judge worthy of special commendation other than that of the prizewinner.
  - d) The chair of the panel shall report the prizewinner and papers recommended for publication in the ARSP to the President of the IVR, who shall duly inform the EC.
  - e) The chair shall also report the prize winner and relevant information to the organizer of the World Congress program.
  - f) The chair shall also report the prize winner and the papers recommended for publication to the Managing Editor of the ARSP.
  
10. The panel of judges shall consist of five members appointed by the Executive Committee of the IVR. The quorum sufficient for award of the prize shall be three. A decision on the award should be announced to the competitors no later than three months prior to the commencement of the relevant World Congress'
  - a) The judges shall be appointed at the EC meeting held at least a year prior to the relevant World Congress.
  - b) The judges shall be selected so that important academic traditions are suitably represented in the panel.
  - c) The members appointed shall remain anonymous.
  
11. The Secretary General shall record the names of the selected judges, but not enter them in the minutes of the EC meeting at which they are appointed.
  - a) Those records shall be kept confidential, unless the EC decides that the Secretary General should disclose them either to the EC or to whomever it indicates.
  
12. The winner of the Prize shall be announced both at the General Assembly, if held, and on the occasion of the "IVR Young Scholar Prize Lecture" by the President of the IVR.
  - a) The President shall announce the winner and the title of the paper, and succinctly give reasons for the award, which shall be provided by the chair of the panel.

- b) The President shall also announce any other works to be published in the ARSP.
13. The arrangements for the "IVR Young Scholar Prize Lecture" shall be the responsibility of the Organizing Committee of the relevant World Congress, including the Prize winner's accommodation and the reimbursement of the Prize winner's travel expenses, at standard rates
  14. The arrangements for the pecuniary prize shall be the responsibility of the Treasurer of the IVR.
  15. The arrangements for the publication in the ARSP shall be the responsibility of the Managing Editor of the ARSP.

**Preliminary Report on the Organization of Presentation and Workshops  
at the 2009 IVR World Congress in Beijing**

- I. Invitation of keynote speakers
- II. Invitation of plenary session speakers
- III. Content and organization of special workshops
- IV. Working groups.

I. Keynote speakers

As you may already know, with the help of the IVR EC, we are delighted to have heard from Professor Joseph Raz that he intends to attend the 2009 World Congress as a keynote speaker.

We are also in conversation with Professor Ronald Dworkin and hoping that he would agree to attend the 2009 conference. Professor Dworkin's initial response is that, although traveling to Beijing in September 2009 would be difficult to arrange, he has not ruled out that option. If members of the EC could suggest ways in which we could induce Professor Dworkin to come, or could directly encourage him to do so, we would be enormously grateful, since Professor Dworkin's appearance at the conference would contribute greatly to the latter's success.

In addition, we have extended our invitation, perhaps not too effectively, to Professor Jürgen Habermas. Chinese members of the IVR feel an utmost interest in seeing Professor Habermas in Beijing; we believe members from other countries feel the same way. We would be extremely grateful if current or former members of the EC, particularly the German scholars, could contact Professor Habermas directly on our behalf and seek his interest in the 2009 conference.

We believe that the China Law Society has budgeted business class roundtrip fare and first-class accommodation in Beijing for three persons. So if all of the three scholars above agree to come, we are still financially prepared.

II. Plenary session speakers

The Chinese Academy of Jurisprudence (CAJ) has convened an organizational committee for the 2009 World Congress. The committee has come up with the following tentative list of plenary session speakers to invite:

1. Thomas Nagel
2. Roberto Unger
3. John Finnis/Leslie Green/John Gardner (alternatives)
4. Robert Alexy

5. Boaventura De Sousa Santos (Portugual)
6. Eugenio Bulygin (Argentina)
7. Aulis Aarnio (Finland)
8. Takao Katsuragi (Japan)
9. Enrico Pattaro
10. Luc Wittgens
11. von Esmeren (Holland)
12. A scholar from India (Chinese organizers are trying to identify one)
13. A scholar from Africa (EC help would be needed to identify such a person)
14. A scholar from Russia (EC help would be needed to identify such a person)

In addition, at least one Chinese scholar would be chosen as a plenary speaker.

As you can see, the list attempts to represent a diversity of countries/regions, intellectual traditions, and scholarly approaches. Even though the number of candidates already exceeds the number of plenary sessions we plan to have (10-11), we are aware we might need to have a longer “backup” list.

Members of the Chinese committee do not have personal contact with all of the candidates above. Thus if EC members agree with us that a particular candidate is suitable, we would appreciate if they can use their personal contact to induce the candidate to agree to come.

### III. Special Workshops

The CAJ organization committee has also put together a tentative list of themes for the special workshops. The list is shown as an Appendix. However, we need to stress that, not only is the list subject to revision and supplementation, we also do not believe that members of the CAJ have enough of an international network of contacts to identify organizers of workshops for most of the themes. We believe scholars from other countries, including members of the EC, will be much more adept at this. Thus we think it will be crucial that the EC suggests a method by which the organization of the special workshops is assigned to specific individuals.

In this connection, we would like to remind you of a matter that Mr. Yin Baohu will elaborate more on. Both because of the large number of expected attendees at the conference (if only because of the large number of Chinese members of the CAJ), and because of venue constraints, we plan to have a smaller number of special workshops than were held in Krakow and other IVR World Congresses. This constraint will apply even more to the working group discussions, which we understand to be the part of the World Congress on which there will be the least collective focus.

### IV. Working Groups

We expect that the number of such groups will be limited. We also tentatively plan to allocate a significant portion of them to discussions led by Chinese scholars, who we believe will introduce themes that are novel and distinctive for the IVR audience.

**Appendix**  
**List of Possible Themes for Special Workshops**

1. diversity of legal cultures and world harmony
2. jurisprudence and world harmony
3. international rule of law and world harmony
4. anti-terrorism, human rights protection and rule of law
5. global environment, energy resources and rule of law
6. global governance and harmonious development
7. conflict and harmony between globalization and localization
8. new perspectives on human rights and development
9. legal and philosophical studies of mediation
10. conflict and harmony between democracy and constitutionalism
11. universalism and cultural relativism
12. Chinese legal thought and legal philosophy
13. Latin American legal thought and legal philosophy
14. African legal thought and legal philosophy
15. legal thought and legal philosophy in Islamic world
16. legal thought and legal philosophy in West European countries
17. legal thought and legal philosophy in Scandinavian countries
18. Russian legal thought and legal philosophy
19. natural law theories
20. legal positivism
21. historical jurisprudence
22. legal pragmatism
23. Marxist jurisprudence
24. critical legal studies
25. virtue jurisprudence
26. gender and law
27. law and language(linguistics)
28. law and literature
29. law and natural science

30. law and music
31. law and psychology
32. law and social science
33. Legisprudence
34. law and economics
35. law, religion and culture
36. political law
37. East Asian legal culture
38. legal transplantation and local resources
39. legal thinking and legal method
40. legal interpretation
41. legal reasoning
42. legal ethics
43. value pluralism and legal communication
44. law and culture in digital society
45. possibility of innovation of models of rule of law
46. models of law and development
47. law, plural values and methodology
48. Topics, rhetoric and jurisprudence
49. contemporary legal argumentation theories
50. logic, language and law
51. communication through methods: jurisprudence in search of inter-cultural value identity
52. deliberative democracy in legislative process
53. argumentation on legitimacy of law and legal validity
54. practical jurisprudence

**REPORT ON PREPARATIONS OF IVR XXIV WORLD CONGRESS**

**I. Theme of the Congress**

Global Harmony and the Rule of Law

**II. Tentative Program of the Congress**

Dates of the Congress are to be on September 15-20, 2009.

Sep.15, 2009; Tuesday

Morning registration

Afternoon opening ceremony and plenary session

Sep.16, 2009; Wednesday

Morning plenary session

Afternoon special workshops & working groups

Sep.17, 2009; Thursday

Morning plenary session

Afternoon visits to the Forbidden City or the Great Wall (two groups)

Sep.18, 2009; Friday

Morning plenary session

Afternoon special workshops & working groups

Evening special workshops & working groups

Sept.19, 2009; Saturday

Morning special workshops & working groups

Afternoon plenary session and closing ceremony

Sep.20, 2009; Sunday

Departure from Beijing

**III. Registration fees and Preferential Policy**

The registration fee of international delegates is USD300 for registration before June 15, 2009 and USD350 for registration after June 15, 2009. Registration fee for accompanying persons and young delegates (under age 35) is USD\$200.

For preferential policy, a principle has been settled that the practices of historical IVR congresses in this respect should be applied in Beijing Congress. If our understanding of the following historical IVR practices is correct, that means: 1)for plenary session speakers, CLS shall provide round-trip air-ticket, hotel accommodation and exemption of registration fee; 2) for IVR EC members and special personalities(including the IVR President, Vice Presidents, Honorary Presidents, the Secretary-general, the Treasurer, the Chief Editor of IVR Newsletter, the Chief Editor of the ARSP), hotel accommodation during the Congress is to be provided and registration fee is to be exempted.

As all the congress sessions are to be held in a hotel, it would make the congress much more expensive than if it would be held in a university. We have begun lobbying the government and relevant universities to provide fund for the congress. Although it is a

big challenge in light of the tight budget policy of the government, we shall try our best to have the registration fee to cover as many items of expenses as possible and to make the experience of our delegates in Beijing a pleasant and fruitful one.

#### **IV. Invitation to speakers for the plenary sessions**

Thanks to the assistance of President MacCormick, Joseph Raz has accepted the invitation to attend the Congress and give a lecture on the plenary session. It is regarded utmost importance to guarantee prestigious scholars to serve as plenary session speakers. This is important not only because of its relevance to quality of the congress but also because those scholars may make the congress look more appealing and interesting to potential participants. It is also of equal importance to have good chairmen of special workshops and working groups to organize high quality papers. China Law Society sincerely hopes that IVR EC members could use their personal contacts and influence to promote those scholars to come to the Congress.

#### **V. Preparations Schedule**

April to May, 2008: confirm the congress program; prepare for the website of the Congress; recommend plenary session speakers; recommend titles and subjects of special workshops and working groups;

July of 2008: confirm name-list of plenary session speakers; liaison with those speakers(seeking assistance from IVR Executive Committee);

August to September, 2008: hold work meeting for academic preparations; prepare for academic program of the congress (including seeking chairpersons and coordinators of special workshops and working groups; prepare for a detailed program of the Congress);

October of 2008: confirm the congress hotel; establish the website of the Congress;

November to December of 2008: strengthen international liaison to promote international participation; collect papers; receive inspection delegates of IVR Executive Committee

While Beijing is now busily preparing for the Olympic Games, it is not a proper time to negotiate with hotels for good prices for the congress. China Law Society plans to determine the congress hotel after the Olympic Games and the Website is to be established at the same time. According to experiences of CLS organizing large scale international conferences in the past, CLS holds that, although that would make the registration for the congress later than expected, registration over the period of one year (from October of 2008 to September of 2009) will not significantly damage participation of the congress. At the pleasant stage, priority is to be given to seeking excellent plenary speakers. In this respect, CLS hopes to have assistance from IVR EC members.

#### **VI. About Special Workshops and Working Groups**

It has been ruled out to have a university serving as the main venue of the Congress so as to have the advantage of accommodating a large number of workshops at the same time by using classrooms. As the Beijing universities zone is quite far from the downtown of the city, that would mean too much disobedience to international participants. We have to use a hotel as the main venue for the congress. We then

won't have as large number of special workshops and working groups at the same time as we had in Krakow. However, that problem is not so serious as it seems to be. Beijing is a long journey to most international delegates and consequently, according to our experiences, we expect the number of international delegates to the congress might be around 300 although we are quite optimistic about domestic participation. At the present stage, we envisage to have a hotel which could provide 8 meeting rooms for workshops and working groups. We could then have 64 sessions (8 rooms×4 half days×2 sessions/each half day = 64 sessions) during the congress. If each session (about one and a half hour) could accommodate 4-5 speakers, we could then arrange for presentations of about 250 to 320 totally. As we think of giving most chances of presentation to our international colleagues, constraint on presentation would be mainly on local Chinese speakers. International speakers do not need to worry about their chance of presentation at the congress. On the contrary, CLS is always worrying that the congress will not induce a good number of international participation. It is sincerely requested that the IVR EC members could help mobilize as many international delegates from their own countries as possible to the congress.

## Paper I – Brazilian Bid for the World Congress 2013

July 13 de 2007.

OF/R/Nº 009/2007

The Board of Directors  
Internationale Vereinigung für Rechts und Sozialphilosophie – IVR

Dear professors:

Brazil has a long trajectory in Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy. Given the humanistic tradition that has guided Law courses in Brazil, since they were opened, back in the 19th century, both disciplines have been mandatory in undergraduate and graduate studies all over the country. As a result, this tradition has produced great thinkers, among whom we can mention late Miguel Reale, one of the Presidents of Honor of the Internationale Vereinigung für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie, IVR. In 2002, the Associação Brasileira de Filosofia do Direito e Filosofia Social (Brazilian Association for Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy) was founded, becoming the Brazilian section of IVR. Despite Brazil's relevance in Philosophy of Law worldwide, the country has never been appointed to host the World Congress of IVR. For those reasons, the Associação Brasileira de Filosofia do Direito e Filosofia Social (ABRAFI) and the Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais (PUC Minas) propose to the directing board of IVR the candidacy of PUC Minas, in Belo Horizonte (Brazil), to host the meeting in 2013, in Belo Horizonte, at the PUC Minas main campus. Belo Horizonte is a large city (around 2.400.000 inhabitants), located 850 meters above sea level. The Confins International Airport serves it with flights to Europe, the United States and Latin America, as well as to the main cities in Brazil. The city was projected to be the capital of Minas Gerais by the end of the 19th century. Belo Horizonte is strategically located near the cities of Ouro Preto (UNESCO's World Heritage), Tiradentes, Diamantina (whose Historical Center is also a World Heritage) and Congonhas (whose Sanctuary of Bom Jesus is likewise a World Heritage), jewels of the Brazilian colonial period. The city also benefits from ecotourism in the region of caves and mountains; furthermore, surrounding it there are numerous areas considered excellent for the practice of radical and other sports. Belo Horizonte is located 716 kilometers from Brasília (UNESCO World Heritage), the modernist capital of the country; 444 kilometers from Rio de Janeiro; and 586 kilometers from São Paulo. The Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais (PUC Minas) was created in 1958 and received the title of Pontifical University from the Pope in 1983. PUC Minas is a non-profit institution of higher education, ranking among the five largest universities in Brazil, and is considered one of the

best in the country. We have approximately 60,000 students enrolled, attending 55 undergraduate courses, 17 Master's Degree programs, and 5 Doctorate programs, besides many specialization courses.

The Faculdade Mineira de Direito (Minas Law School), part of the University, is one of the most reputable in the country, both for its undergraduate and graduate courses, and has today, approximately, 6,000 undergraduate students, 300 professors and 300 graduate students. Among the graduate courses, it offers a Master's and a Doctor's Degree in Philosophy of Law.

Ideally, the Congress would be held in the PUC Minas campus of Coração Eucarístico in the last week of July, when the city's temperature is around 20 degrees (Celsius scale). The main Congress theme would be defined afterwards, in common agreement with the board of directors or with the general assembly of IVR. The official language of the Congress would be English.

There is the possibility of financial support from two federal educational and research agencies (CNPq and CAPES) and from the state of Minas Gerais

research agency (FAPEMIG). Furthermore, the Ordem dos Advogados do Brasil

(Brazilian Bar Association), the Government of Minas Gerais and the Municipal Government of Belo Horizonte would also support it.

The "mineiros", as the people from the State of Minas Gerais are called, are well known for their hospitality and skills in organizing conferences and seminars. We believe, for all those reasons, that we fulfill all necessary requirements to host such an event.

The ABRAFI and PUC Minas are both available to provide IVR with any further

information, if necessary.

Confident that we can count on the support of every IVR member,

Kindest regards,

Professor Eustáquio Afonso Araújo  
Chancellor of the Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais

Professor Luiz Fernando Coelho  
President of the Associação Brasileira de Filosofia do Direito e Filosofia Social

Professor César Augusto de Castro Fiúza  
Dean of the Faculdade Mineira de Direito

Professor Marcelo Campos Galuppo  
Vice-president of the Associação Brasileira de Filosofia do Direito e Filosofia Social  
Coordinator of the graduate courses of the Faculdade Mineira de Direito

**Paper tabled at meeting held on the May 31<sup>st</sup> by the Conference  
Organizers of the Frankfurt World Congress**

Dr. Denis Basak

27/05/08

**IVR World Congress 2011  
Preliminary Meeting 23 May 2008  
Minutes**

Participants:

Prof. Dr. Ulfrid Neumann

Prof. Dr. Lorenz Schulz

PD Dr. Jochen Bung

Dr. Denis Basak

Sascha Ziemann

**1. Structure of the congress (duration, planned sessions: plenary sessions / special workshops / working groups)**

- The congress is scheduled for five working days as in Krakow.
- As in Krakow, there will be special workshops and working groups, the number of which will be limited, however.
  - Special workshops are thematically specialised forums prepared by a section leader assigned in advance.
  - Working groups are rather open discussion forums without narrow thematically restrictions. Speakers, who do not find their topics represented in the scheduled workshops, can find their audience here.
- Official languages of the congress will be English and German.
- Plenary lectures held in German shall be translated to English in advance and published on the congress website.
- Instead of a complete simultaneous translation, the discussions shall be interpreted by qualified bilingual participants, if necessary.

- If required, one special workshop, and maybe a working group, too, shall be held in Spanish.

## **2. Topics for plenary lectures and workshops / working groups**

- The general theme of the congress will be “Law, Science, Technology”. The following sub-topics are discussed:
  - Law and IT
  - Law and Gene Technology
  - Law and Embryonic Research
  - Law and Energy
  - Law and Environment / Climate
  - Law and Artificial Intelligence
  - Law and Philosophy of Science
  - Brain Research and Law
  - Responsibility for Technology
- The plenary lectures shall preferably be linked to the general theme. This intention shall also guide the choice of the lecturers.

## **3. Persons (lecturers, moderators, section leaders)**

- The following list should be regarded as preliminary. The invitation of the mentioned scientists has been discussed:
  - Jürgen Habermas (plenary lecture)
  - Ronald Dworkin (proposed for a special lecture)
  - Wolf Singer, Frankfurt (on brain research)
  - Axel Honneth, Frankfurt
  - Brian Leiter, University of Texas at Austin
  - Leslie Green, Oxford
  - Scott J. Shapiro, Yale
  - Georg Pavlakos, Antwerpen

#### **4. Financial issues**

- The proposed conference dues shall be:
  - € 200,- as early bird rate
  - € 275,- to € 300,- as normal rate
  - Reduction of about 50% for Students (and on request in special cases)
  - Reduction of 50% to 70% for companions
- What will be covered by the conference dues can only be decided upon when the final budget is known.

#### **5. Social Program:**

- Ideas for a location of the farewell dinner:
  - Kloster Eberbach
  - Palmengarten
- Both suggestions shall be checked.
- The reception by the city of Frankfurt could be held in the Paulskirche.
- Prof. Brugger offers a guided tour of Heidelberg.
- Further proposals for the social program are excursions to Büdingen or Rothenburg ob der Tauber, visits to the museums of Frankfurt and Offenbach (e.g. the Leather-Museum), or guided tours of Frankfurt.

#### **6. Miscellaneous**

- The question, whether a professional event agency shall be involved in the preparation of the congress, is discussed.
  - This will be primarily a matter of price. Therefore offers of different kinds of services ranging from pure consultation to concrete realisation with own personnel will be collected.
  - The possible gain of professional experience is seen as an advantage, even if the participants of this meeting already have some know how in congress organisation.
  - The possible costs for such an agency shall be envisaged in the planning of the budget.

- The importance of the congress website is emphasised. It shall meet high standards of ergonomics and function and must be designed as soon as possible.
  - The website will be the primary mean of communication before and during the congress. It shall be used for registration, publishing of documents and all kinds of informations.
  - Professional support is required in designing and maintaining this website.
  - The president of the Goethe-University shall be asked to provide this professional support and the hosting of the website via the EDPC of the university.
- Another point is the publication of the conference volumes.
  - The framework for the lecture volumes has to be clarified. A link to journals as ARSP, Rechtstheorie or Ancilla Iuris is possible, but this has not been worked out.
  - Prof. Alexy suggested to publish further miscellanies in the run-up of the congress. This issue is still open to further discussion.
- The congress shall offer a platform for junior scientists in a special workshop or a working group akin to the Junges Forum Rechtsphilosophie within the German section of the IVR.
- Prof. Schulz emphasises the intention of the editors of the emerging “Enzyklopädie für Rechtsphilosophie” to present a first body of this work in temporal connection with the congress in 2011.

Frankfurt am Main, 27/05/08

gez. Denis Basak